
DRIFT THICKNESS OF ANTIOCH QUADRANGLE LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS AND KENOSHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN

Department of Natural Resources
ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
William W. Shilts, Chief

Antigono D. Divon Women and Staven M. O'Maller

Illinois Preliminary Geologic Map IPGM Antioch-DT

Antigone B. Dixon-Warren and Steven M. O'Malley 2004








Champaign, Illinois 61820-6964

http://www.isgs.uiuc.edu

(217) 244-2414







technical qualifications of the data sources. Maps or cross sections in this document are

not meant to be enlarged.

Drift Thickness

This map displays the thickness of glacial drift over bedrock. The drift consists of a combination of glacial diamictons (till and sediment flow deposits), proglacial sands and gravels, lake sediments and organic-rich materials which cover the entire study area (Larsen, 1973). The thickness of drift ranges from about 195 to 325 feet. Previous mapping of the drift thickness in the study area is limited and those estimates of thickness were based on county (Larson, 1973) and statewide-scale (Piskin and Bergstrom, 1975) studies.

Generally, the drift is thickest in the central portion of the quadrangle and is thinner toward the quadrangle boundaries. Commonly, drift is thickest over bedrock valleys and thinnest over bedrock highs. The borehole recording the thickest drift (326 feet) is in the center of the quadrangle, while the point of thinnest drift (196 feet) is in the northwest corner of the study area.

Methodology

Drift thickness is an estimate of the depth to bedrock from the ground surface. During data compilation and analysis, 497 borings either on the quadrangle or within a 1-mile buffer were examined (Figure 1). Of these, 474 penetrated bedrock and 23 ended in glacial drift. Key words used by drillers in their drill logs to indicate bedrock include limestone, dolomite, rock, and bedrock. To generate this map, only 191 of the 474 bedrock borings were used; 120 of these were located within the quadrangle boundaries. The additional 71 bedrock borings within the 1-mile buffer were used to better characterize drift thickness along the quadrangle boundaries. Where data points were densely clustered (e.g., the southwestern portion of the study area), representative borings were selected to establish a more even data distribution. Boreholes ending in glacial drift (16 borings inside the quadrangle boundaries and 7 holes within the 1-mile buffer) were also used to control the minimum depth to the bedrock surface, i.e., where the top of bedrock was known to occur at a depth greater than the model calculations suggested. Because no boreholes with data on bedrock elevation occur at these locations, these shallower wells provide a minimum depth, above which bedrock can not occur.

The location of each well was verified using tax records, plat books, and Internet-based locating software. When required, wells were repositioned. The level of confidence in the final verified location was ranked from 1 (high accuracy) to 5 (low accuracy). The highest quality boreholes, with respect to both geologic information and location, are the ISGS GPS-surveyed stratigraphic borings, whereas the lowest quality data points are generally residential water wells. For this map, we initially used only the boreholes that combined high quality location data (ranks 1 and 2) with high quality geologic data, however, we later added some data points of lower quality to fill in data needs and to maintain uniform data distribution. Of the 214 borings used to create this map, 202 are water wells, 8 are stratigraphic borings, and 4 are 'other' holes, which means either the type of well is unknown or its status is 'dry'.

The data were compiled within ArcGIS. Points were interpolated using the spline with tension method and the ensuing drift thickness grid was contoured at 20-ft intervals. Based on subsequent analysis, the contours in a few areas were modified to more realistically portray the drift thickness. All data are on file at the Geological Records Unit of the Illinois State Geological Survey.

Acknowledgments


Funding for this project was provided in part by General Revenue Funds from the State of Illinois, Central Great Lakes Geologic Mapping Coalition, a contract grant through the U.S. Geological Survey, a Joint Funding Agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey to provide updates for selected base map coverages, and grants from the Association of American State Geologists for student summer internships. The County of Lake, through their GIS and Mapping Department, supplied in kind technical support and base map coverages to develop our database and maps.

The cooperation of E.H. Glenn and Sons Inc., Blake and Sons, Beach Pump and Well, J. Huemann and Sons, Henry Boysen Company, S.O.S. Service Inc., A. and C. Snelton, Snelton and Sons, Wachholder Well Drilling and the Lake County Health Department is gratefully acknowledged. M. Barnhardt, A. Hansel, and A. Stumpf reviewed the map. The Central Great Lakes Geologic Mapping Coalition, and the State of Illinois provided funding.

References

Larsen, J.I., 1973, Geology for Planning in Lake County, Illinois: Illinois State Geological Survey, Circular 481, 43 p.

Piskin, K. and R.E. Bergstrom, 1975, Glacial Drift in Illinois: Thickness and Character: Illinois State Geological Survey, Circular 490 35 p.






Figure 1 Map showing the location of borings used to model the drift thickness. Borings are symbolized in blue for water wells, orange for stratigraphic borings and white for 'other' borings.