STATE OF ILLINOIS
WILLIAM G. STRATTON, Governor
DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION
VERA M. BINKS, Director



GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE IN ILLINOIS

Donald B. Saxby J. E. Lamar

DIVISION OF THE
ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
JOHN C. FRYE, Chief URBANA

CIRCULAR 226

1957

GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE IN ILLINOIS

Donald B. Saxby and J. E. Lamar

ABSTRACT

Information that could be of value in the possible future economic development of gypsum and anhydrite deposits in Illinois has been collected from well data and assembled in this report. The gypsum and anhydrite occur in the St. Louis limestone formation. They do not crop out and are restricted to the southern half of Illinois. In the deeper parts of the Illinois structural basin anhydrite prevails, but along the shallower marginal area extending roughly from Madison County to Sangamon County both gypsum and anhydrite occur. In general the amount of gypsum present increases with decreasing depth. The minimum depth at which gypsum and anhydrite were found was 470 feet.

The maximum thickness of gypsum, devoid of other materials, encountered in six diamond drill cores from southwestern Illinois, was 2 feet at a depth of 896 feet, but 3 1/2 feet of material averaging 93 percent gypsum was found in another core at 722 feet. Cable tool and rotary drill data are less specific but suggest similar or greater thickness of gypsum.

All available data regarding the presence of gypsum result from drilling for water, coal, or oil, and thus it is possible that drilling specifically directed to the discovery of gypsum might find greater thicknesses of this mineral than have thus far been reported.

INTRODUCTION

Gypsum or anhydrite beds are not known to crop out in Illinois, but both minerals have been encountered in wells in the St. Louis limestone formation in the southern half of Illinois at depths ranging from 470 to 3390 feet. Information on some of these occurrences was given in an Illinois State Geological Survey report (Lamar, 1938), now out of print. Since that report appeared, additional information has accumulated and the present report incorporates both old and new data on the nature and extent of the Illinois deposits and makes the information regarding them available for consideration in the light of present and future economic conditions.

Abandoned coal mine shafts are present in parts of the area where the gypsum and anhydrite occur. There is a possibility that some of these shafts could be rehabilitated to save part of the cost of sinking a shaft to the gypsum or anhydrite beds.




Fig. 1. - Index map of counties.

PROPERTIES OF GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE

Gypsum is a soft mineral consisting of hydrous calcium sulfate and has the chemical composition CaSO₄·2H₂O. When suitably heated or "calcined" its composition can be changed to CaSO₄·1/2H₂O, a product known as plaster of Paris. When mixed with water, plaster of Paris reverts to CaSO₄·2H₂O and hardens or "sets." This characteristic is the basis for many of the uses of gypsum.

Anhydrite is harder and heavier than gypsum. It is anhydrous calcium sulfate and has the chemical composition CaSO₄. Because it does not contain water it cannot be processed to yield plaster of Paris.

USES OF GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE

Gypsum has many uses (U. S. Bureau of Mines, 1955). Uncalcined, it is used as, among other things, a portland cement retarder, as agricultural gypsum, as a filler, as rock dust, in brewer's fixe, and in color manufacture.

Calcined, gypsum is used in industry in the manufacture of plate-glass and terra cotta plasters, pottery plasters, orthopedic and dental plasters, statuary, industrial casting and molding plasters, deadburned filler, and for miscellaneous uses.

In the building materials field, gypsum is used for Keene's cement, for various plasters (such as insulating, roof-deck, joint-filler, patching, and painters'). It also is used to make prefabricated lath, wallboard, laminated board, sheathing board, and tile.

Ground anhydrite is used in southern states as a soil conditioner in peanut growing (North, 1956). In Europe anhydrite is employed for making sulfuric acid and cement (Goudge, 1951) and it has been used in ammonium sulfate manufacture (Cole and Rogers, 1933).

PRODUCTION STATISTICS

In 1952 the crude gypsum mined in the United States totalled 8,415,300 short tons and had a value at the mines of \$22,896,051, or \$2.72 per ton (U. S. Bureau of Mines, 1955). Eight states had three or more active gypsum mines - California, Colorado, Iowa, Michigan, Nevada, New York, Oklahoma, and Texas. No production of anhydrite was reported for 1952.

GENERAL GEOLOGY OF ILLINOIS GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE

Gypsum and anhydrite beds are found principally in the St. Louis limestone formation of Mississippian age. In some places only one bed is found, in others two or three beds. The existence of three appears to be relatively common but it is not known whether they are stratigraphic equivalents or how the three beds correlate with the occurrences of two beds or a single bed. The term "evaporite zone" is used in this report to describe the strata between the shallowest and deepest occurrences of gypsum and anhydrite. The term "evaporite" is used to refer to gypsum or anhydrite without distinction and relates to the probable direct or indirect role of evaporation in their formation.

The depth at which gypsum and anhydrite beds are encountered is partly, especially locally, a function of the topographic elevation of the ground surface. However, the gradual eastward and southeastward increase in the depth of the

beds in the western part of southern Illinois is related to the down-warping of the bedrock strata towards the center of the Illinois basin, a large roughly north-south basin whose deepest part is in White County. East of the center of the basin the strata rise and are locally arched into a fold, called the La-Salle anticline, which is well developed in Clark, Lawrence, and Crawford counties (figs. 1 and 3).

Diamond drill core data and other well data given later suggest that below a depth of about 1500 feet the evaporite material in the evaporite zone of the St. Louis formation consists principally of anhydrite. Where the zone is at progressively shallower depths, the percentage of anhydrite decreases and that of gypsum increases, though the change is not necessarily either uniform or everywhere consistent. Limestone and/or dolomite occur with the evaporites in varying amounts. Probably there is considerable lateral variation within comparatively short distances in the relative proportions of the various components, but these variations are less common in the deeper anhydrite deposits than in the shallower gypsum and anhydrite occurrences.

CHARACTER AND FORMATION OF ILLINOIS GYPSUM AND ANHYDRITE

The gypsum seen in the diamond drill cores and other cuttings generally is colorless, white, or light gray and occurs as relatively coarse tabular crystals. Less common are occurrences of white, chalky gypsum. The anhydrite generally is fine-grained and bluish white to light gray, rarely brown, and under the microscope is seen to have rectangular cleavage. Some coarsely crystalline masses of anhydrite were noted.

It appears probable that the anhydrite originally was deposited from a sea as a result of evaporation. Some of the gypsum may have been similarly deposited and some of it is an alteration product of the anhydrite. Studies of thin sections (D. L. Biggs, personal communication, 1956) from samples at depths of 1034, 1038, 1039, 1052, and 1053 feet, core C-153, described subsequently, lead to the conclusion that the alteration probably was done by groundwater because some crystals of anhydrite have margins altered to gypsum that is in optical continuity with the anhydrite. Other anhydrite crystals are altered to gypsum along their cleavage planes. The gypsum rarely contains isolated carbonate crystals but reveals many included fragments of anhydrite crystals.

That there was probably also some secondary redistribution of anhydrite is apparent because anhydrite occurs as fissure-filling veins in dolomite and as large crystals and irregular masses replacing dolomite. It also replaces the calcite of limestone and occurs as irregular masses in this rock. The anhydrite veins contain fragments of carbonate crystals, and other types of anhydrite occurrences show isolated carbonate crystals that are locally abundant.

CHEMICAL ANALYSES

Chemical analyses were made of two samples of gypsum and three samples of anhydrite to obtain specific information regarding their composition. Results of tests are shown in table 1.

Table 1. - Analyses of Gypsum and Anhydrite

(Analyses by L. D. McVicker in the laboratories of the

Illinois State Geological Survey)

	Gyps	um	Anhydrite			
	Core C28	Core C28	Core C28	Core C28	Core C1807	
	\mathbf{D} epth	Depth	Depth	Depth	Depth	
	918-923'	896-8981	905-9121	1017-1024	1260-1264	
SiO ₂ and insoluble	1.46	0.68	0.50	1.14	0.50	
Fe_2O_3 and Al_2O_3	0.16	0.18	0.10	0.16	0.04	
MgO	1.14	0.28	0.60	1.86	0.18	
CaO	33.64	32.76	40.86	40.26	41.06	
NaCl	-0.34 104	1 -0.69,0	1 0.38:01	0.15,0	3 0.26.01	
CO ₂	2.04	0.28	0.37	3.93	1.04	
SO ₃	45.88	45.88	57.10	52.50	56.34	
Free water	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Combined water	16.04	20.23	0.69	0.46	1.23	

TYPES AND SIGNIFICANCE OF WELL DATA

Three types of well data have been used in this investigation - a) diamond drill core data, b) cable tool or rotary drill data based on samples saved during the drilling of wells and subsequently studied by Survey geologists, and c) drillers' records of formations encountered in cable tool or rotary drill borings. Although there is considerable variation in the significance of the data from these three sources, all are meaningful in indicating the probable thickness, distribution, and depth of the evaporite zones, and are so used. The possibility of error, as indicated below, should, however, be borne in mind when the data are used.

Of the three types of data mentioned above, that from diamond drill cores is regarded as the most detailed. The cuttings from cable tool and rotary drill wells afford only rough quantitative data regarding the mineral composition of the strata penetrated and do not give details on the manner in which limestone or dolomite may be interbedded in the strata represented by some samples. Comparison of several drillers' logs and older sample study logs with the cuttings from the same wells indicates that a distinction between gypsum and anhydrite has not always been made and that the term gypsum was commonly used to describe both materials. As a result, some well data of this type indicated considerable thicknesses of gypsum that actually is anhydrite or a combination of gypsum and anhydrite. The detail with which small percentages of limestone or dolomite in the gypsum or anhydrite are reported also is probably variable and some, perhaps many, gypsum zones may in reality also contain limestone, dolomite, or anhydrite partings or beds.

COMPARISON OF VARIOUS TYPES OF WELL DATA

Three Sangamon County wells drilled within a mile of each other by different methods afford an opportunity for comparing the types of data resulting from cable tool, rotary, and diamond drilling (fig. 2). All three show gypsum




Fig. 2. - Results of studies of a diamond-drill core and of cuttings from a cable-tool well and from a rotary-drill well, all within a mile of each other. A self-potential curve for the rotary well is shown to its left with resistivity curve to its right.

and anhydrite zones at approximately the same depth but there is considerable variation in the amount of detail. It is possible that some of the differences between the logs are real, but it is likely that many of the variations relate to the exactness with which the materials recovered from the wells reflect the character of the evaporite beds penetrated. The diamond drill cores gave the maximum information, followed by the cable tool cuttings and then the rotary drill cuttings.

SIGNIFICANCE OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY DATA

To the left of the rotary drill well record in figure 2 is the self-potential curve for the well. No certain reflection for the evaporite zones is evident. To the right are resistivity curves with electrode spacings of 18 and 54 inches. The marked increase in resistivity, indicated by the deflection of both resistivity curves to the right at the evaporite zones, suggests that resistivity measurements may be helpful in locating such zones where other types of data are lacking.

THE ST. LOUIS FORMATION EVAPORITES

The occurrence of gypsum and anhydrite in the St. Louis formation is treated by a series of maps (figs. 3-6). All the well data used in making these maps are based on core and sample studies. In some areas where there were a great many wells, only selected records were used to avoid crowding the map.

The St. Louis formation is of lower Mississippian age and consists almost entirely of limestone. It crops out in western, southwestern, and extreme southern Illinois but the outcrops contain no evaporites. The formation varies in thickness, as shown in figure 3, and ranges from 0 to more than 450 feet thick. It is absent in the northern half of Illinois. There is no well defined relationship between the thickness of the St. Louis formation and the thickness of the evaporite beds or of the evaporite-bearing zone.

Figure 4 shows the depth to the top of the evaporite zone. In general the depth increases from the northern margin of the zone towards the center of the Illinois structural basin and is greatest in eastern Jasper, eastern Richland, western Clay, and central Wayne counties. The shallowest area occurs in Madison County and parts of adjacent counties where a minimum depth of 470 feet is recorded.

The total thickness of the various evaporite occurrences in the St. Louis formation is shown in figure 5. Maximum thicknesses are shown in a band extending northeast, roughly from East St. Louis. The map indicates further the character of the evaporites as reported in the well logs used in making the map. Except in the case of diamond drill borings, these data are subject to the qualifications previously mentioned regarding the accuracy of the interpretation of rotary and cable tool drill cuttings.

The thickness of the evaporite-bearing zone of the St. Louis formation is indicated in figure 6. The data given are the thicknesses between the shallowest occurrence and the deepest occurrence of the evaporites in the wells plotted on the map. The figures generally do not denote a continuous body of evaporites of the thickness shown although this may be true for some wells. The




Fig. 3. - Thickness of St. Louis formation.




Fig. 4. - Depth to top of St. Louis evaporite zone.




Fig. 5. - Thickness and types of evaporites in the St. Louis formation. For some wells only a figure above a horizontal line is given, others have only a figure below the horizontal line. The absence of a figure above the line indicates the absence of gypsum, the absence of a figure below the line shows the absence of anhydrite.




Fig. 6. - Thickness of the evaporite-bearing zone in the S. Louis formation. South of the major evaporite area only minor amounts of evaporites are present locally.




Fig. 7. - Cross section across Illinois basin showing evaporite zone in the St. Louis formation, based on a study of well cuttings.

Wells are "lined up" on the top of the Lower Mississippian limestone, depth to top of which is shown above each well. 30-6N-3E, Fayette Co. 5-6N-6E, Effingham 26-6N-5E, Effingham 20-8N-10E, Jasper 7-10N-11W, Clark 24-8N-8E, Jasper 4-9N-12W, Clark Note that gypsum is present only above a depth of about 1500 feet. The following wells were used in the study; Ark. Fuel Oil Co. #1 Alexander Kingwood Oil Co. #1 McWhorter Texas Co. #1 Swarm-Christian Kingwood Oil Co. #1 Bartels H. H. Weinert Inc. #1 Isley Robinson Hoffman et al. #1 King Yeager et al. #1 Macoupin Co. Montgomery Montgomery Montgomery Macoupin Macoupin Fayette Fayette 17-12N-9W, 23-12N-8W, 1-10N-5W, 28-10N-3W. 7-11N-7W. 31-11N-5W, 10-9N-2W, 7-8N-1E, Randall & Babler #2 Street Gulf Ref. Co. #1 Brandon Adams & Lagers #1 Bristow E. Battles Castle #1 G. Butcher Texas Co. #1 S. Stokes Gerhardt #1 Launer Brown #1 Lipe Frazier #1 * *

* R = Rotary; C = Cable.

œ

maximum thickness of the evaporite zone is 234 feet and occurs in southern Cumberland County. In general the thickest portion of the zone forms an arc opening to the south and bordering the northern boundary of the area of evaporite deposits.

The position of the evaporite zone in the St. Louis formation is shown in figure 7. The zone is restricted to the lower one-half or three-fifths of the St. Louis formation. However, the distribution of specific evaporite occurrences within the lower St. Louis formation is sporadic.

Data on Specific Wells

The areas wherein the evaporite beds are shallowest and contain most gypsum occur, as has been shown, in Bond, Christian, Madison, Macoupin, Montgomery, and Sangamon counties in western and central Illinois. All diamond drill cores in Survey files from these counties have been examined in detail and are reported in part 1 of the appendix. A similar study was made of representative well cuttings in the Survey's possession from the same counties. Part 2 of the appendix gives the records of all wells studied in which at least one sample contained 25 percent or more gypsum. One record having only one sample containing 20 percent gypsum is included because there was no anhydrite associated with the gypsum.

Diamond Drill Core Data

Eight diamond drill cores penetrating gypsum and anhydrite were available for study. Composition of the cores as reported is based on visual estimates, a sufficient number of which were checked by microscopic and x-ray examinations to warrant the belief that they are reasonably correct. The maximum thickness of gypsum encountered, devoid of any other materials, was two feet in core C28 at a depth of 896 feet. However, core C141 had 42 inches of material averaging 93 percent gypsum at a depth of 722 feet to 725 feet 6 inches, core C153 had 26 inches averaging 92 percent gypsum at 1025 feet 1 inch to 1027 feet 2 inches, and core C28 had 60 inches averaging 83 percent gypsum at a depth of 918 to 923 feet.

Cable Tool and Rotary Drill Data

No samples in the cable tool and rotary drill borings studied were 100 percent gypsum. However, the minimum thickness represented by any sample was five feet, so it is possible that beds of gypsum free from anhydrite, limestone, or dolomite and less than five feet thick might be present but would not be distinguished in the cuttings. Contamination of the cuttings from overlying strata may be involved also. Well 6129 in Madison County penetrated a 10-foot thickness of material consisting of 85 percent gypsum and 15 percent cherty limestone at a depth of 700 to 710 feet. Well 19,477 in Sangamon County encountered at 890 to 903 feet a 13-foot thickness of rock composed of 65 percent gypsum and 35 percent anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite. In Montgomery County well 5232 went through a 15-foot thickness consisting of 55 percent gypsum, 10 percent anhydrite, and 35 percent dolomite at a depth of from 1165 to 1180 feet. In the same county, well 4832 found 5 feet of material that was 60 percent gypsum, 5 percent anhydrite and 35 percent limestone at 980 to 985 feet.

Bond County well 3843 found at 1080 to 1090 feet a 10-foot thickness of gypsum and limestone in equal amounts.

Additional Data

The Survey has in its files cuttings from many wells that have not been studied because of the magnitude of the work involved. This is especially true of cuttings from wells in a number of oil fields within the area considered in this report. These well cuttings are available for examination in the Survey laboratories.

EVAPORITES IN OTHER FORMATIONS

Other major occurrences of anhydrite in formations other than the St. Louis limestone are to be found in the Ordovician dolomites and limestones, ranging from a minimum depth of 1768 feet in Randolph County to a maximum of 7683 feet in White County. In Fayette, Randolph, Perry, Jackson, and Lawrence counties, anhydrite is found mainly in the Joachim-Dutchtown dolomite. In White County near Grayville a study of the Superior-Ford C-17 diamond drill core showed anhydrite in the Dutchtown and Knox dolomites as follows.

${f Depth}$	Composi	tion (%)
(feet)	Anhydrite	
Dutchtown formation		
7114-7200	50	50
7200-7221	15	85
7221-7254	2-4	96-98
Glenwood shale		
St. Peter sandstone		
Knox dolomite		
7510-7683	3-5	95-97
	(feet) Dutchtown formation 7114-7200 7200-7221 7221-7254 Glenwood shale St. Peter sandstone Knox dolomite	(feet) Anhydrite Dutchtown formation 7114-7200 50 7200-7221 15 7221-7254 2-4 Glenwood shale St. Peter sandstone Knox dolomite

The anhydrite is for the most part very finely crystalline, although some of it is coarsely crystalline. It is dark bluish to brownish gray.

ECONOMIC POSSIBILITIES

The data in this report do not demonstrate the existence of commercial deposits of gypsum in Illinois but they are worthy of consideration if for no other reason than because of the proximity of the gypsum occurrences to a major market area, that of greater St. Louis, which is being supplied from more distant sources.

It is noteworthy that none of the wells whose records have been used in the preparation of this report were drilled for the purpose of finding gypsum. Considerable areas that may contain gypsum have not been explored. Thus it is possible that borings located at suitably selected places might find gypsum at shallower depths and in greater thicknesses than are reported herein. It is significant also that the greatest thicknesses of gypsum noted occur at comparatively shallow depths where the possibilities for the hydration of anhydrite to gypsum appear to be better than they are at greater depths. These two considerations suggest that the near outcrop areas northeast of East St. Louis bear further scrutiny.

It has been pointed out that no evaporites crop out in Illinois despite the fact that the St. Louis formation is extensively exposed in western, southwestern, and extreme southern Illinois. Evidence that the outcropping beds may have contained evaporites at some time is suggested by the presence of beds of limestone conglomerate or breccia in western and southwestern Illinois at about the same stratigraphic horizons as the unexposed evaporite zone. These conglomerates or breccias may have resulted from the removal of evaporites by solution from deposits of interbedded limestone and evaporites. If this is true, it indicates that the distribution of evaporites in western and southwestern Illinois was at one time more widespread than at present and further points to the area as one that may merit specialized investigation for evaporites.

REFERENCES

- Cole, L. H., and Rogers, R. A., 1933, Anhydrite in Canada: Canada Dept. Mines, Mines Branch, No. 732, p. 29.
- Goudge, M. F., 1951, Gypsum and anhydrite as industrial raw materials: <u>in</u> Conference on Industrial Minerals, Nova Scotia Dept. of Mines and Nova Scotia Research Foundation, p. 30.
- Lamar, J. E., 1938, Unexploited or little known industrial minerals of Illinois:

 <u>in</u> Contributions of the Fifth Annual Minerals Industries Conference, Illinois Geol. Survey Circ. 23, p. 224.
- North, O. S., 1956, "Gypsum": in Mineral Facts and Problems: U. S. Bur. Mines Bull. 556, p. 342.
- U. S. Bureau of Mines, 1955, Minerals Yearbook, v. 1, 1952, p. 485, 489.

APPENDIX

PART 1

Descriptions of diamond drill cores in Bond, Macoupin, Madison, Montgomery and Sangamon Counties. One core from White County is described to show the nature of the evaporites in the deeper parts of the Illinois basin.

CORE C1807 Schwartz and Shell, Studebaker No. 1 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 21, T. 6 N., R. 2 W., Bond Co.

		Estimated composition (%)					
Thickness (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite		
1	1259	3	0	97	0		
1	1260	97	0	3	0		
4	1264	8	90	2	0		
1 ½	1265 ½	5	15	80	0		
2½	1268	55	35	0	10		
1	1269	85	0	0	15		

			Estimated composition (%)		
Sample	Thickness (in.)	Bottom depth (f,t.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone
S 35	7	942 11"	0	0	100*
No core	1	943' 0"			
37	7	943 7"	100	0	0
38	17	945 ' 0"	90.	0	10†
No core	4	945 4"			
42	10	946 2"	0	0	100*
43A	32	948 * 10 "	80	0	20 †
43 B	2	949 0"	5	0	95 †
43C	24	951 ° 0"	95	0	5 †
43D	9	951 9"	90	0	10
44	5	952 ° 2 "	5	0	95
S 57A	17	975 ' 11 "	0	0	100†
56A	9	976 ' 8"	8	2	85 †
58A	6	977 2"	Trace	0	100†
58B	9	977 11 "	10	0	80°

CORE 153 (continued)

			Estin	nated composi	ition (%)
Sample	Thickness (in.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone
59	10	978 ' 9"	60	20	20†
60 - 61	13	979 ' 10"	30	0	70†
61 1 /2	5	980 ' 3"	100	0	0
62	2	980 ' 5"	10	0	90†
63	12	981	50	25	25°
64 A	10		12	85	3
64B	18		98	2	0
65	19		30	0	70†
S 76A	21	1012' 9"	10	90	0
76B	6	1013' 3"	20	60	20
76C	26	1015' 5"	9	90	1
76D	11	1016' 4"	40	35	25*
S 80A	24	1021	12	85	3*
80B	4		100	0	0
80C	5		3	10	87
80D	18		10	85	5*
80E 80F 80G 80H 80I	17 6 3 17 3	1025' 1" 1025' 7" 1025'10" 1027' 3" 1027' 6"	7 100 50 98 40	90 0 0 0	3 0 50* 2 60
	Average	e Composition of H	igh Gypsu	m Zones	
37 - 43D	101	943'0"-951'9"*	78	0	22
61½-64B	47	979'10"-983'9"	63	26	11
80F - H	26	1025'1"-1027'3"	92	0	8

^{* =} Argillaceous; † = Dolomite; ° Includes 4" from which no core was recorded.

CORE C154

Madison Coal Co., Boring 16

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 36, T. 8 N., R. 6 W., Macoupin Co.

			Estin	nated compos:	ition (%)
Sample	Thickness (in.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone
33	5	955 † 5 "	20	0	80
34	10	956 ¹ 3 "	0	0	100*
35A	20	957 ' 11"	80	10	10*
35B	3	958 1 2 "	40	60	Trace
35C	8	958 ' 10"	95	5	Trace
35D	5	959 ' 3"	60	10	30*
35E	8 <u>1</u>	959 111 2"	85	3	12*
35F	4	960 ' 3 ½ "	88	10	2

CORE C154 (continued)

			Estim	ated compos:	ition (%)
Sample	Thickness (in.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone
35G 36	7 7	960 * 10 1 ." 961 * 5 1 ."	55 0	5 0	40* 100 †
35A - 35F	Average	Composition of 956'3"-960'3½"	High Gypsu	m Zone 11	9 1

^{* =} Argillaceous; † = Dolomite.

CORE C141 Madison Coal Co., Boring 6 SE cor. SW_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} sec. 26, T. 4 N., R. 8 W., Madison Co.

			Estir	mated compos:	ition (%)
Sample	Thickness (in.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone
26	9	710' 9"	0	0	100*
27A	19	712' 4"	100	Trace	0
27B	14	713' 6"	70	30	Trace
27C	6	714' 0"	25	73	2
27D	3	714' 3"	10	5	85
27E	8	714*11"	10	85	5
27F	22	716* 9"	60	10	30*
27G	14	717*11"	15	10	75*
27H	7	718* 6"	10	90	0
27I	8	719* 2"	5	50	45
28A 28B 28C 28D 28E	34 7 9 1 6	722" 0" 722" 7" 723" 4" 723" 5" 723"11"	55 85 95 10 100	5 10 2 0	40* 5 3 90* 0
28F	5	724	90	Trace	10
28G	14		100	0	0
29	4		40	0	60
30	30		20	0	80
31	23		2	0	98

^{* =} Argillaceous.

	Average	Composition	of	High	Gypsum	Zones	
28A	72 34 42	710 '9"- 716 719 '2"- 722 722 '0"- 725	10"		62 55 93	25 5 2	13 40 5

5

25*

5

CORE C155 $\mbox{Madison Coal Co., Boring 17} \\ \mbox{NW$\frac{1}{4}$ NW$\frac{1}{4}$ NW$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 7, T. 7 N., R. 5 W., Montgomery Co.}$

Estimated composition (%) Gypsum Anhydrite Limestone Thickness Bottom depth Sample (in.) (ft.) 991 8" 90* 16 10 44A 992 4" 100* 0 44B 8 40* 993' 0" 60 0 45A 8 99411" 95 5 Trace 45B 13 995 3" 60 35 5 45C 14 85 995 7" 15 Trace 45D 996' 2" 90 10 7 Trace 45E 996 6" 5 0 95 45F 4 99711" 75 45G 7 20 5

60

60

45

35

15

50

45K	2	999	10	0	90³
45L	4 ¹ / ₂		60	25	15
	Average	Composition of High	Gypsum	Zones	
45A-45C	35	992	73	16	11
45H-45L	34 2		52	35	13

998 1"

998 5"

999 5"

12

4

12

45H

45 I

45J

CORE C2663 Superior Oil Co., Singer No. 1 SE 1_4 SE 1_4 NW 1_4 sec. 3, T. 8 N., R. 2 W., Montgomery Co.

			Estimated co	omposition	(%)
Thickness (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Dolomite	Limestone
2	1446	0	0	100	0
3	1449	2	98	0	0
4	1453	10	0	0	90
3	1456	5	95	0	0
4	1460	2	98	0	0
1	1461	2	0	98	0
1	1462	0	35	65	0
3	1465	3	85	12	0
9	1474	0	0	10	0

^{* =} Argillaceous.

CORE C28

Millar Co., Sample Farm

Cen. sec. 11, T. 15 N., R. 3 W., Sangamon Co.

			Estimat	ed composition	(%)
Thickness (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrit	e Dolomite	Other
2 2 1 1 2	892 894 895 896 898	0 0 97 5 100	0 10 3 95 Trace	0 - 0 0 0	Ls100 Ls. & dol90 0 0
1 4 2 4 3	899 903 905 909 912	50 10 40 10 15	50 90 50 90 85	0 0 10 0	0 0 0 0
3 3 4 1 1	915 918 922 923 924	15 20 85 75 10	85 80 10 5 5	0 0 0 20 85	0 0 Ls. & sh. 5 0
5 3 20 2 2	929 932 952 954 956	50 10 0 45 10	0 0 0 50 85	50* 90* 100 5 5	0 0 0 0
3 3 44 1 3	959 962 1006 1007 1010	5 40 0 25 10	0 50 0 50 90	95 10 0 - 0	0 0 Dol. & 1s100 Dol. & sh25
2 3 2 7 1	1012 1015 1017 1024 1025	15 15 0 5	80 70 0 90 0	5 15 100 5 100	0 0 0 0
2 2 2 2 9	1027 1029 1031 1033 1042	0 10 5 5	50 90 15 95 15	0	0 0 Dol. & sh80 0 Dol. & 1s85
1 1 3 2 4 2	1043 1044 1047 1049 1053 1055	0 0 Trace 5 50 2	95 5 85 95 40 0	5 95 15 0 10 98	0 0 0 0 0
4 5	Average (894-898 918-923	Composition 75½ 83	of High (Gypsum Zones O 4	O 4
					•

^{* =} Silty and argillaceous; Ls. = Limestone; Dol. = Dolomite; Sh. = Shale.

CORE 2740

Superior Oil Co., H. C. Ford Farm, No. C17 SW_{4}^{1} SE $_{4}^{1}$ sec. 27, T. 4 S., R. 14 W., White Co.

		Estimated composition (%)						
Thickness (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Dolomite				
1	3144	0	Trace	100 - silty				
5	3149	0,	100	0				
1	3150	0	50	50 - silty				
9	3159	0	0	100				
2	3161	0	50	50 - silty				
3	3164	0	100	0				
1	3165	0	0	100				

PART 2

Studies of samples from cable tool and rotary wells in Bond, Clark, Macoupin, Madison, Montgomery, and Sangamon counties. Only those wells are reported in which at least one of the samples contained 25 percent or more gypsum. One well with only one sample containing 20 percent gypsum is included because there was no anhydrite associated with the gypsum.

Trace = less than 1/2%. Little = more than 1/2% but less than 1%.

WELL 3522 $NW_{4}^{1} NW_{4}^{1} \text{ sec. 16, T. 6 N., R. 3 W., Bond Co.}$

Thickness represented by samples (ft.)		Estimated composition (%)			
	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	1230	2	***	98	-
5	1235	25	35	40	=
5	1240	Little	Little	100	-
45	1285	Trace	Trace	100	-
10	1295	Little	Trace	100	-

WELL 7126 $NW_{4}^{1}\ NW_{4}^{1}\ SE_{4}^{1}\ sec.\ 8,\ T.\ 6\ N.,\ R.\ 4\ W.,\ Bond\ Co.$

Thickness represented by samples (ft.)		Estimated composition (%)			
	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	1145	3	-	. 97	
5	1150	5	-	95	***
5	1155	15	***	85	

WELL 7126 (continued)

		Estimated composition $(\%)$			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	1160	30	_	70	-
10	1170	5	-	95	
5	1 17 5	8	_	92	***
5	1180	5	-		95
10	1190	45	-	Ę	55
5	1195	12	_	88	==

WELL 3843 $NW_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} SE_{4}^{1} \mbox{ sec. 27, T. 7 N., R. 4 W., Bond Co.}$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
10	1090	50	344	50	***
5	1095	17	-	83	-
6	1101	20	-	80	
9	1110	8	·	92	_
5	1115	4	••	96	-
15	1130	2	•••	98	-
5	1135	5	==	95	-
5	1140	10	***	90	-
7	1147	15	-	85	_

WEIL 7783 $NW_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} NE_{4}^{1} sec. 31, T. 7 N., R. 4 W., Bond Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
30	1030	Little		100	-
10	1040	25	•	75	-
10	1050	Little	Cast Cast	100	-

WELL 19,249 $SW_{4}^{1}~SE_{4}^{1}~NW_{4}^{1}~sec.~26,~T.~7~N.,~R.~6~W.,~Macoupin~Co.$

		Ε	stimated co	mposition (%)
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
30	930	2	400	98	603
10	940	10	quad	90	649

WELL 19,249 (continued)

		Estimated composition $(\%)$				
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite	
10 10	950 960	15 Little		85* -	100*	
10 10 20	970 980 1000	20 10 Little	- - -	80 90 100	- - -	

^{*} Includes shale.

WELL 3480 $SW_{4}^{1} SE_{4}^{1} Sec. 2, T. 3 N., R. 9 W., Madison Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
8	515	Little	-	100	
10	52 5	3	_	97	-
25	550	_	-	100	-
10	560	35	Little	65	-
5	565	10	_	_	90
5	570	20	-	80	-
15	585	25	_	75	-
5	590	3	_	97	-

WELL 3162 $SE_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} NE_{4}^{1} sec. 9, T. 4 N., R. 8 W., Madison Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
10	650	5	-	95	-
10	660	25		75	-
10	670	3	-	97	-
35	705	Little	-	100	-
10	715	25	-	75	-
25	740	5	-	95	-
20	760	Little	_	. 100	123
15	775	50	Little	50	_
10	785	40	Little	60	-
10	795	5	Little	95	-
10	805	15	Little	85	-
15	820	10	Little	90	_

WELL 1312 $SW_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} NE_{4}^{1} sec. 24, T. 5 N., R. 8 W., Madison Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
10	740	20	•	80	om
10	750	3	***	97	essi .
7	757	5	69	95	gest .
11	768	30	100	70	943
7	775	5	Cord	95	ent
20	795	Little	-	100	•

WELL 6129 $SE_{4}^{1}~SW_{4}^{1}~NE_{4}^{1}~sec.~27,~T.~5~N.,~R.~8~W.,~Madison~Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	695	10	000	90	_
5	700	20	1449	80	-
10	710	85		15*	-
5	715	15	•••	-	85

^{* =} Cherty.

WELL 18,946 $SE_{4}^{1}~SE_{4}^{1}~SW_{4}^{1}~sec.~29,~T.~6~N.,~R.~8~W.,~Madison~Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
15	625	5		849	95
10	635	Trace	444	245	100
15	650	40	-	949	60*

^{*} Includes shale.

WELL 5311 $NW_{4}^{1}~SE_{4}^{1}~NW_{4}^{1}~sec.~10,~T.~9~N.,~R.~2~W.,~Montgomery~Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	1505	10	15	75	
10	1515	20	10	70	
5	1520	30	10	60	-
10	1530	500	463	-	100
5	1535	20	10	264	70
5	1540	10	5	85	-

WELL 5232 $SW_{4}^{1} SW_{4}^{1} SE_{4}^{1} sec. 28, T. 10 N., R. 3 W., Montgomery Co.$

		Estimated composition $(\%)$.%)
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
15	1165	•	100	810	ques.
15	1180	55	10	ens	35
10	1230	10	20	7	70
5	1235	15	70	1	.5
5	1240	10	20	-	70
5	1245	10	30	-	60
5	1250	20	15	1000	65
5	1285	15	55	-	30
5	1290	10	20		70
5	1295	·	***	-	100
5	1300	enc.	20	80	•••
5	1305	659	10	90	
5	1310	20	30	70	PERS
5	1315	œ	7 0	30	(200
5	1320	100	10	90	100

WELL 4832 $NW_{4}^{1} NE_{4}^{1} SE_{4}^{1} sec. 31, T. 11 N., R. 5 W., Montgomery Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone	Dolomite
5	980	***		100	0:00
5	985	60	5	35	500
5	990	10	3	87	gon
5	995	0	0	100	609
5	1000	30	Little	70	-
5	1005	3	0	97	2004
10	1015	Trace	0	100	•
10	1025	25	4	71	and
5	1030	20	50	30	-
5	1035	8	4	88	-
10	1045	2	7	91	•••
5	1050	Little	10	90	(mi)
10	1060	Little	2	98	****
5	1065	25	35	40	0000
10	1075	2	5	93	***

WELL 19,477 $SW_{4}^{1}\ NW_{4}^{1}\ NE_{4}^{1}\ sec.\ 10,\ T.\ 15\ N.,\ R.\ 3\ W.,\ Sangamon\ Co.$

		Estimated composition (%)			
Thickness represented by samples (ft.)	Bottom depth (ft.)	Gypsum	Anhydrite	Limestone Dolomite	
11	866	5	-	95	
19	885	1	1	98	
5	890	20	70	10	
6	896	60	35	5	
7	903	7 0	20	10	
5	908	15	_	85	
4	912	10	-	90	
18	930	-	-	100	
5	935	5	15	80	
47	982	-	, -	100	
8	990	35	60	5	
5	995	5	35	60	
5	1000	5	10	85	
5	1005 .	2	8	90	
10	1015	-	• -	100	
9	1024	20	25	55	

Illinois State Geological Survey Circular 226 26 p., 7 figs., appendix



CIRCULAR 226

ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

URBANA

