


BREHM #1 Bochamir T35-R2W-Sec 35 SW SW Washington Co., IL
TEXACO #1 Flatlake T45-R1W-Sec 24 SE SW Perry Co., IL
SHELL #19 CW & F Coal T65-R2E-Sec 26 SW SW Franklin Co., IL
BREHM #1 Harris Unit T85-R3E-Sec 25 NE SE Williamson Co., IL
TEXAS PACIFIC # Mary Strech T11S-R2E-Sec 2 NW SE Pope Co., IL
ST. JOSEPH #1 Hamp T11S-R2E-Sec 30 NW NW Hardin Co., IL
SHELL #1 Davis L-17 Sec 16 Callender Co., KY
SUN EXPL. #1 Stephens L-18 Sec 3 Cabell Co., KY



LITHOLOGIES

clay	clay, sil. sand, gravel
ss	sandstone
cs	calcareous sandstone
ds	dolomitic sandstone
sls	interbedded sandstone-siltstone with shale
sl	siltstone
sls	interbedded sandstone-shale-siltstone-limestone
sls	includes coal in Pennsylvanian
sls	siltstone
sls	shale
sls	calcareous shale
sls	dolomitic shale
ls	limestone
sls	sandy limestone
sls	dolomitic limestone or calcareous dolomite
sls	dolomite
sls	sandy dolomite
sls	silty dolomite
sls	sandy limestone-dolomite
sls	red
sls	cherty
sls	shaly
sls	argillitic
sls	crystalline rock-acid
sls	crystalline rock-basic

- STRUCTURAL**
- 1 Anticline traps caused by tectonic folding
 - 2 Fault entrapment
 - 3 Fracturing of organic-rich shales such as the New Albany
 - 4 Fracturing of carbonate rocks such as the Galena (Fenton)
- STRATIGRAPHIC**
- 5 Pinchouts of porous clastic rocks
 - 6 Sand bars within instead paleosol network; influence of the paleosol network commonly results in isolated sandstones
 - 7 Sub-Albion unconformity: truncation of reservoir rocks, especially by shale-filled paleosols; also, potential for reservoir development at or below unconformity caused by localized diagenesis
 - 8 Isolated porous oolite or other carbonate shoals in Paleozoic rocks
 - 9 Isolated limestone reefs (not identified on this section)
 - 10 Isolated redbeds in Bossard Siltstone
 - 11 Onlap and pinchout of reservoir rocks on sub-Kaskaskia unconformity
 - 12 Sub-Kaskaskia unconformity: local enhancement of carbonate porosity at unconformity due to diagenesis; truncation of reservoir rocks
 - 13 Possible local traps in Joachim-Dutchman Fms. caused by upward argillitic seals
 - 14 Sub-Tippencanon unconformity: possible local reservoir development caused by diagenesis of Knox carbonates
 - 15 Onlap and pinchout of reservoir rocks on paleostructure
 - 16 Possible local traps in lower Paleozoic rocks depending on presence of suitable source rocks


NORTHWEST-SOUTHEAST CROSS SECTION IN THE ILLINOIS BASIN SPARTA SHELF, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS TO ROUGH CREEK GRABEN, WESTERN KENTUCKY

Stephen T. Whitaker, Janis D. Treworgy, and Michael L. Sargent
Illinois State Geological Survey

Martin C. Noger
Kentucky Geological Survey
1992

Acknowledgments: Robert B. Poof, Andrew K. Frinzy, Kathryn L. Desolis, Colin G. Treworgy, W. John Nelson, and Jacquelyn L. Harman—Illinois State Geological Survey
Mark W. Longman—Lakewood, Colorado

CROSS SECTION AT APPROXIMATELY 2:1 VERTICAL EXAGGERATION



STRATIGRAPHY

This series of regional cross sections presents the interpreted structural and stratigraphic framework of the Illinois Basin. Existing stratigraphic problems are apparent on these regional cross sections and are discussed below. Developing solutions for these problems, however, is beyond the present scope of this project.

Earlier than introduce new terminology on the cross sections, we use the stratigraphic nomenclature used in the various states in several recent publications (Shawer, 1985; Wilman et al., 1975; Howe, 1961; McDowell et al., 1981; Adler et al., 1987).

Bonne Terre Eau Claire

The Bonne Terre Formation (Cambrian) in Missouri is a predominantly carbonate unit. The Eau Claire Formation as defined in Wisconsin and used in northern Illinois, is a silicified unit (Rushbach, 1975). The Eau Claire becomes predominantly carbonate southward into the Illinois Basin (Rushbach, 1975), and is laterally equivalent to the Bonne Terre Formation in southeastern Missouri. The Eau Claire and Bonne Terre are separated from overlying carbonates by the Davis, a shaly carbonate in southern Illinois. The Davis is a member of the Franconia Formation in Illinois and the basal formation of the Evans Group in Missouri. The Davis lacks its silicified component westward beyond central southern Illinois, thereby making it difficult to track the top of the Eau Claire. The lower portion of the Eau Claire in Illinois is also a carbonate, but it is relatively rich in silicification. In Indiana and Kentucky, this lower silicified carbonate unit overlies the entire Eau Claire Formation, and the overlying carbonates are included in other units (Droste and Patton, 1985). More detailed mapping and more data are needed to better define these facies relations.

Canadian Series

The Canadian Series (Ordovician) are restricted to the subsurface in southern Illinois and western Kentucky, but they crop out along the eastern flank of the Ozark Dome in Missouri. In Missouri these strata are subdivided into six formations. In ascending order, they are the Gasconade Dolomite, including the Garter-Sandstone Member at its base, the Roundabout Formation, and the Jefferson City, Colter, Powell, and Smithville Dolomites (Thompson, 1959; Adler, 1987). In Kentucky the Garter through the Colter Formations are recognized, although the Garter in Kentucky is considered a separate formation (Shawer, 1985; McDowell et al., 1981). The Powell and Smithville are recognized in Kentucky. In Kentucky, these strata are included in either the unnamed argillaceous dolomite, mentioned below, or the Colter Dolomite.

Gasconade

The Gasconade of Kentucky and Missouri is equivalent to the Onondaga of Illinois, except that in Missouri the Gasconade includes the Garter as a basal member. The Roundabout correlates with the New Richmond Sandstone of west-central and northern Illinois. In the west-to-east cross section that extends from Missouri to Kentucky, the Roundabout is informally recognized in southern Illinois to show its probable correlation from the adjacent states where it is formally recognized. The Shawnee in Illinois has not been the scope of this project as lithologic differences are commonly defined on the basis of micaceous residues and are too subtle to be detected on outcrop logs.

Unnamed Argillaceous Dolomite Overlying Shawnee

A shaly or argillaceous dolomite unit, previously unrecognized as distinct from and overlying the Shawnee Dolomite, is present only in wells in the southernmost part of Illinois and adjacent parts of western Kentucky and possibly southeastern Indiana. It is thickest in the Picket well (330 ft), Union County, Illinois, the Shreve well (706 ft), Pope County, Illinois, and the Davis well (440 ft), Crittendon County, Kentucky. It is present, although thin, as far north as the Cappy well, Hamilton County, Illinois. The unit is absent in the Stephens well, Callaway County, Kentucky, and the Duncan well, Webster County, Kentucky, even though these wells are located in the Rough Creek Graben. Also in the Stephens and Duncan wells, the unit is present in the upper part, which suggests that these two wells penetrate host blocks uplifted and eroded during the major orogenic sea level that resulted in formation of the sub-Tippencanon unconformity. The unnamed shaly dolomite unit may have been deposited prior to block uplift and eroded from these structurally high areas, in which case the unit is part of the Saak Sequence. Alternatively, the southernmost part of the basin, including the Picket, Shreve, Davis, and adjacent wells, may have remained submerged and thereby experienced continuous deposition when the shallow part of the basin was exposing the uplifted host blocks, were subaerially exposed. The unnamed shaly dolomite may represent continuous deposition when the shallow part of the basin was exposed (Shawner, 1985) influx of clay and silt from the basin margins; that event was followed by deposition of sandstones. By this scenario the unnamed shaly dolomite is part of the Tippencanon Sequence.

Everton-St. Peter

The upper part of the Everton Dolomite and the lower part of the St. Peter Sandstone (Droste, p. 321, 322) and MacLean (1952, p. 17) defined as a diastem in its type area and the Duchman as primarily a limestone. In the subsurface, however, both units may be dolomite and sandstone, thereby eliminating the main distinguishing characteristic. The lower part of the combined unit is generally shaly, as evidenced by higher readings on the gamma ray logs, but this criterion has not been used in the past as a distinction between the units.

Joachim-Dutchman

We did not differentiate the Joachim and Dutchman Formations (Ordovician). Winslow (1984, p. 321, 322) and MacLean (1952, p. 17) defined the Duchman as a diastem in its type area and the Duchman as primarily a limestone. In the subsurface, however, both units may be dolomite and sandstone, thereby eliminating the main distinguishing characteristic. The lower part of the combined unit is generally shaly, as evidenced by higher readings on the gamma ray logs, but this criterion has not been used in the past as a distinction between the units.

Mammoth Cave Group

Carbonates of the Mammoth Cave Group (Mississippian) are difficult to subdivide, since the contacts between the formations are gradational. More work and more core data are needed to work out the facies relations of this carbonate group. In these cross sections, we have attempted to correlate the formations basinwide as they have been defined in the past to aid those who use these subdivisions.

STRUCTURE

The structural configuration between the wells was taken primarily from available regional structure maps, the base of the Beach Creek in Illinois by Brink (1967), the base of the New Albany in Kentucky by Sargent and Poof (1979), the top of the Galena in Illinois by Brink and Rushbach (1972), and the base of the Roundabout in Missouri by McCrackin (1977). Other data, such as bedrock geologic maps, were checked and the most reasonable interpretations were depicted on the sections.

REFERENCES

Adler, J., 1987. *Geology of the Gasconade Region*, in: C. E. Chalk, C. Steen, and A. Salsbery, eds., *Geology of the Gasconade Region*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 204, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1967. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1972. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1975. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1977. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1979. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1981. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1983. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1985. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1987. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1989. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1991. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1993. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1995. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1997. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 1999. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2001. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2003. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2005. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2007. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2009. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2011. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2013. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2015. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.


Brink, H. M., 2017. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2019. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2021. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2023. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.

Brink, H. M., 2025. *Structure of the Base of the Beach Creek (Barnes) Limestone in Illinois*, Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 198, p. 1-114.



**ILLINOIS BASIN CONSORTIUM
CROSS SECTION PROJECT**

The structural and stratigraphic framework of the Illinois Basin is portrayed in a network of regional cross sections prepared by the Illinois Basin Consortium (Illinois, Indiana, and Kentucky Geological Surveys). Most of the sections radiate from the UNOCAL #1 Core well in Wayne County, Illinois, the approximate center of the basin. Additional sections are oriented to traverse significant geological features in Indiana and Kentucky. As each cross section is completed, it will be available in open-file format from the state geological surveys.

This cross section is also part of the Continuous U.S. Mineral Assessment Program (CUSMAP), study of the Paleozoic Gasconade, which is a part of the U.S. Geological Survey and the state geological surveys of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Missouri.

Oil and gas fields in the Illinois Basin